

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/047,710 01/15/2002		Ananda M. Chakrabarty	11170/3	3837	
757 7	590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOP P.O. BOX 1039	FER GILSON & LIONE	YU, MISOOK			
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/047,710		CHAKRABARTY ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		MISOOK YU,		1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
. 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 October 2004</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-f	final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 26-48</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 17-24, 26-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been re ents have been re riority documents eau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receivee 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachment	• •	_	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election filed on 10/25/2004 is acknowledged. Upon review of the newly added claims, claims 40-48 do not belong to the elected invention. Applicant's representative, Dr. Murray was contacted by phone on 12/30/2004. See the attached interview summary. Dr. Murray agreed to receive another restriction requirement instead of claim 40-48 being withdrawn from examination on merits. The restriction requirement mailed on 8/23/04 is vacated and replaced with the following restriction requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 17-20, 26-28, drawn to method of treating a condition related to 1. resistance to cell death, classified in class 512, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 21, 22, drawn to method of treating a condition related to cell death susceptibility, unclassifiable due to the unknown nature of the active ingredient.
- III. Claims 23, 24, 29-39 drawn to method of administering to a patient a pharmaceutical comprising a cytotoxic factor, classified in class 512, subclass 2.
- Claims 40-48, drawn to method of contacting cells with a cytotoxic factor, IV. classified in class 435, subclass 375.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,710

Art Unit: 1642

Inventions I-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects and/or use different active ingredient.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. The search required for each of the above inventions is not coextensive with regard to the literature and the sequence searches. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of any one group would not necessarily anticipate or make obvious the any of the other groups. For these reasons, restriction for examination purposes is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Groups III and IV contain claims generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. There are 2 genuses. The species in the first genus are the different cancers listed in claim 35 for group III, and claims 45 for group IV. The species in the second genus are the different active ingredients in the pharmaceutical compositions in order to accomplish the purpose stated in the preamble of claims; they are azurin, and cytochrome  $C_{551}$ .

If any of group III or IV is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect each of a single disclosed species from the two different genuses, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,710

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

MISOOK YU, Ph.D.

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 1642